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Makarrata Commission and Aboriginal Treaty
Petition calling on the Government to commit New South Wales to establishing a Makarrata Commission and a treaty with Aboriginal people, received from Mr David Shoebridge.

Notices

PRESENTATION

[During the giving of notices of motions]

The PRESIDENT: It being 11.15 a.m., according to the resolution of the House this day proceedings are now interrupted to allow the Minister to introduce the Aboriginal Languages Bill 2017.

Bills

ABORIGINAL LANGUAGES BILL 2017

Introduction

Bill introduced on motion by the Hon. Sarah Mitchell.

The PRESIDENT: According to the resolution of the House this day, I will now leave the chair until the conclusion of proceedings to commemorate the introduction of the bill.

The House resumed at 12:01.

The PRESIDENT: According to resolution of the House this day, I invite Aunty Irene Harrington and Uncle Gary Williams to take their seats on the dais. I welcome into the Chamber Aboriginal elders and Aboriginal language stakeholders, and acknowledge the message stick ceremony participants.

First Reading

Bill read a first time and ordered to be printed on motion by the Hon. Sarah Mitchell.

Second Reading

The Hon. SARAH MITCHELL (Minister for Early Childhood Education, Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, and Assistant Minister for Education) (12:03): I move:

I acknowledge the traditional owners of the land on which Parliament now stands, the Gadigal people of the Eora Nation. I also pay my respects to their elders both past and present and extend that respect to the many First Peoples of New South Wales who are here today. I will now invite Dr Ray Kelly into the House to translate this acknowledgement in Dhungutti.


The Hon. SARAH MITCHELL: I also acknowledge the elders and the other participants in the message stick ceremony. Message sticks have been used by First Peoples for thousands of years to communicate between nations and within clans, to share good news, to welcome and to pass on information. They were carried on long journeys and passed through generations. They are physical manifestations of the languages that this bill seeks to acknowledge, nurture and grow. Thank you Uncle Ray Davison, Ray Ingrey, Rhonda Ashby, Jaycent Davis, Aunty Di McNaboe, Uncle Gary Williams, Aunty Irene Harrington, Murray Butcher, Ronan Singleton and Aunty Maureen Sulter for being here today to support this bill, and for all your incredible work to support language revival in their communities.

The Aboriginal Languages Bill 2017 that I am introducing today reflects your passion and your love for your languages, and will provide tangible support for your efforts to reawaken and share your languages. You are true ambassadors for your language and culture, inspiring others on their own journey of cultural renewal. As the English flag was being raised not far from this Parliament in 1788, the Eora language would have been heard. Eora was just one of an estimated 35 first languages, and the more than 100 dialects of those languages, spoken on the lands of what is now New South Wales. First People’s languages belong to the land, and to its custodians. Languages hold knowledge of country, the stories of its creation, its seasons, and First People’s connections with
and obligations to it. Languages also speak of First People's connections to each other. Languages are part of the songlines going across this State, connecting people, places, and time, and connecting the current generations to the past, to their ancestors and to the future.

Past governments, through their assimilation policies and practices, tried to eliminate First People's languages. Speaking language was forbidden on Aboriginal reserves and missions, people were arrested for daring to speak their language in public, and children were removed because their parents or grandparents were heard uttering their language. During conversations on the draft bill Uncle George Fernando from Gingi Mission outside Walgett recollected how old people were imprisoned for speaking Gamilaraay. At Wagga Wagga Dr Stan Grant Senior shared similar memories of police arresting his relative for being heard to speak Wiradjuri in public.

But while the land appeared to fall silent, the languages were only sleeping and awaiting reawakening. The languages continued to be passed on in secret through the generations. They were also recorded by well-meaning non-Aboriginal people, and kept for future generations by libraries and other cultural institutions. Reawakening languages has a ripple effect within families, extending through Aboriginal communities and out into the broader community. First People's languages are dynamic, from rebuilding the language from historical sources and remaining speakers right through to becoming an everyday language. First languages like Gamilaraay and Gumbaynggirr Ger have words for everyday things and are used in daily conversations, on the street and in social media.

Reawakening languages is neither a quick nor an easy task; it is a generation-long journey. Too often, those who started the journey do not get to see the destination. But their vision and their aspirations, personified in the message stick ceremony, remain strong. Last year the inquiry into reparations for the Stolen Generations tabled its report to this House. I was proud to be a member of the committee that conducted the inquiry. We heard firsthand how the loss of connection to culture and language caused trauma to the members of the Stolen Generations. We also heard of the healing power of reconnecting with culture and language. Rhonda Ashby, teacher at the Gamilaraay Aboriginal Language and Culture Nest in Lightning Ridge who participated in our message stick ceremony today, told the committee:

I think it's a part of our identity; it's a part of our soul. Language is a part of culture, culture is a part of language—the two are married. If we do not know where we come from, we do not know where we are going. It is like a tree without roots; it won't grow. We have lost our identity. That is why this language journey we are on is important.

The healing power of learning and using languages is not limited to members of the Stolen Generations. For Aboriginal students, learning an Aboriginal language strengthens their identity and engagement at school and in learning. A more cohesive school environment is fostered by all students having an appreciation of Aboriginal language and culture.

Despite the hard work, there is still much more to be done. According to the 2016 Census, across Australia only one in 10 First Peoples spoke their language at home. Of the 170 first languages reported in the Census, only 69 first languages had at least 100 speakers and almost all first language speakers lived outside the capital cities. But there is some good news. In New South Wales about 1,800 First Peoples spoke their language at home—an increase from about 1,200 in 2011—and Wiradjuri was the most widely spoken New South Wales first language, with 355 speakers, which is a significant increase from the 109 speakers in 2011.

First People's languages are also important to everyone in New South Wales. First language is a part of the shared history and heritage of this State; part of the oldest continuing culture in the world. First languages contain knowledge of the environment and the history of New South Wales, telling of ice ages and geological events from thousands of years ago. Our history did not begin with Europeans in wooden vessels, and our collective identity has long drawn on First People's culture and language. Our particular vernacular has incorporated terms from first languages, many of our place names have come from first languages, and First People's stories have become woven into Australian folklore. The Government's positive journey to help reawaken first languages started in the early 2000s with two related initiatives: the funding of the local community language revival efforts, which led to the 2004 New South Wales Aboriginal Languages Policy and the establishment of the New South Wales Aboriginal Languages Research and Resource Centre; and the teaching of first languages within schools, which led to the introduction of the Aboriginal Languages K-10 syllabus of 2003.

By the time the Ministerial Taskforce on Aboriginal Affairs conducted its statewide consultations in 2012, there was a strong groundswell to increase efforts to support communities to revive their languages and for greater opportunities for students to learn first languages within schools. In response, Opportunity, Choice, Healing, Responsibility, Empowerment [OCHRE]—the New South Wales Government plan for Aboriginal Affairs—included the Aboriginal Language and Culture Nest initiative, to foster Aboriginal languages in Aboriginal communities, schools and the wider community.
The nests are networks of communities bound together by their connection to an Aboriginal language. Each nest has a base school, language teacher and tutors, schools teaching language and a keeping place to manage language resources. Aboriginal communities are closely involved in nest planning and decision-making. The five nests by location and language are: Dubbo, Wiradjuri; Coffs Harbour, Gumbaynggir; Lismore, Bundjalung; Wilcannia, Paakantji; and Lightning Ridge, Gamilaraay. Across the five nests there are nearly 70 schools delivering Aboriginal language programs to more than 6,300 students, employing 55 language teachers and tutors—notably, the number of students has increased from 5,300 in 2016.

The bill also had its genesis in OCHRE, which committed to renewing the 2004 Aboriginal Languages Policy. Reviews of that policy, and a similar Federal Government policy, found that Aboriginal language policies were not achieving their objective because of a lack of accountability mechanisms and authority for the agency responsible for their implementation. Something more than policy was required to realistically achieve aspirations for reawakening, nurturing and growing New South Wales first languages. Overseas, our counterparts in New Zealand and Canada have enacted legislation to drive efforts to recognise and raise awareness and use of their indigenous languages. Visitors to New Zealand appreciate how widespread Maori language is in everyday life. Those laws provided the model for a proposal for a New South Wales Aboriginal Languages Act.

I take a moment to thank the former Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, Ms Leslie Williams, for bringing forward the proposal for this bill. In March 2016 the former Minister met with key language stakeholders and identified the need for this legislation. In November 2016 the New South Wales Government announced the drafting of a New South Wales Aboriginal languages bill. In January this year I became Minister for Aboriginal Affairs and since that time we have consulted widely on a draft bill. The initial draft bill had three parts: acknowledgment or recognition statements; development of a strategic plan; and a Centre of Aboriginal Languages in New South Wales. The draft bill included statements that recognised the connection between Aboriginal language, culture and identity; the rights of Aboriginal people to learn and maintain their languages; the role of the New South Wales Government; and the need for action to revive Aboriginal languages. The draft bill also provided for the development of a five-year strategic plan to protect and strengthen Aboriginal languages through programs, partnerships and funding. There will also be annual reporting to Parliament on performance against the strategic plan.

Between May and August this year Aboriginal Affairs held community conversations on the draft bill to make sure that it met First People's aspirations. The community conversations took the form of 32 workshops in 16 locations across New South Wales in two rounds. Those 16 locations were: Lightning Ridge, Bourke, Moruya, Wilcannia, Broken Hill, Dubbo, Wagga Wagga, Griffith, Dareton, Tamworth, Lismore, Coffs Harbour, Taree, Sydney, Mount Druitt and Moree. Round one conversations were facilitated by independent facilitator Professor Jack Beetson and proceedings were recorded by Mr Joe Boughton-Dent. The round one workshops commenced with a demonstration of local language and culture to establish a positive and practical context for the workshop discussion, including original songs and storytelling in language; demonstration language classes; and students speaking about their experiences of learning a language, and what language and culture meant to them. Participants also told personal stories of the transformative effect of languages within them, their family and community.

Round one conversations attracted 269 participants and more than 60 media reports. There was strong support for legislation at the workshops and in the media coverage. However, there were concerns with the draft bill as it was written at the time. The draft bill used words that were not easily understood or were problematic such as "protection", which is burdened by its historical association with the Aborigines Protection Act. It was perceived as government taking ownership and control of languages. The term "recognise" was also seen as too closely associated with the campaign for recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Australian Constitution. Workshop participants stressed that the bill must be easily understood and meaningful to Aboriginal communities. There were also concerns that the draft bill appeared to give the Government ownership and control of First People's languages. All workshops were unequivocal that Aboriginal ownership and control of Aboriginal languages must be fundamental to the bill.

The Government's role was to facilitate and resource local communities to further nurture and grow their languages, and to promote language use within government agencies and the wider community. Professor Beetson provided Aboriginal Affairs with an independent report on the round one conversations, which documented these concerns and recommended a number of changes to the draft bill. Aboriginal Affairs held a second round of community conversations to seek specific feedback on the proposed changes to the draft bill. The round two conversations attracted 108 participants. It also sought the views of the informal community advisory group established to guide the development of the bill and invited written submissions throughout the community consultation period.
The bill before the House today reflects the outcomes of community conversations to date—conversations that will continue after the bill becomes law. The objects of the bill are: to acknowledge that Aboriginal languages are part of the culture and identity of Aboriginal people; to establish an Aboriginal Languages Trust governed by Aboriginal people that will facilitate and support Aboriginal language activities to reawaken, nurture and grow Aboriginal languages; and to require the development of a strategic plan for the growth and development of Aboriginal languages. A preamble was preferred over recognition statements to give the bill a narrative. Dr Ray Kelly, Aboriginal author and academic whom we heard from earlier, provided a submission to Aboriginal Affairs to inform the development of the preamble. The preamble now provides:

WHEREAS

(a) The languages of the first peoples of the land comprising New South Wales are an integral part of the world's oldest living culture and connect Aboriginal people to each other and to their land;
(b) As a result of past Government decisions Aboriginal languages were almost lost, but they were spoken in secret and passed on through Aboriginal families and communities;
(c) Aboriginal people will be reconnected with their culture and heritage by the reawakening, growing and nurturing of Aboriginal languages;
(d) Aboriginal languages are part of the cultural heritage of all people in New South Wales; and
(e) It is acknowledged that Aboriginal people are the custodians of Aboriginal languages and have the right to control their growth and nurturing.

The preamble combines Dr Kelly's submission, feedback from the community conversations and the original acknowledgement statements. Preambles are rare in modern legislation, but in legislation that does include a preamble—such as the Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983—it has both symbolic and practical meaning to all who read it.

I also bring to the attention of the House the definitions of an "Aboriginal language" and an "Aboriginal language activity". An "Aboriginal language" is a language or a dialect of a language of the traditional custodians of the land comprising New South Wales. The community conversations raised two practical issues with this definition.

First, although Aboriginal languages cross State and Territory borders, this legislation remains focused on efforts within the borders of New South Wales. I hope, however, that our leadership in this area will lead other States and Territories to enact similar legislation in their own jurisdictions. Secondly, as well as New South Wales being multicultural in the global sense, New South Wales is also multicultural in the sense that many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples now call New South Wales home. Aboriginal languages from outside New South Wales do not fall within the operation of this Act, and I understand that this broadly aligns with cultural protocols on using Aboriginal languages outside their homelands.

An "Aboriginal language activity" is defined as an activity intended to reawaken, grow, nurture, promote, or raise awareness of Aboriginal languages. The draft bill used various synonyms of revival or development to capture the broad spectrum of Aboriginal language activities. The community conversation shone a very narrow beam on the diversity of language activities occurring at the national, State, regional, and local levels. Some of these activities are funded by State or Commonwealth governments, some are funded by institutions such as universities, some are funded privately through fundraising, and others have no funding at all. Some languages are in the early stages of reawakening, while other languages can be studied at tertiary level. Some activities are large linguistic research projects; others are a couple of elders meeting around a kitchen table to talk in and about language. All activities are steps on the language journey, regardless of scale, and are not intended to be excluded by this definition. The draft bill proposed a centre for Aboriginal language in New South Wales within Aboriginal Affairs. Community conversations strongly supported an independent statutory entity, such as a trust, led by an Aboriginal board, instead of the proposed centre.

Part 2 of the bill establishes the Aboriginal Languages Trust. The trust is constituted as a New South Wales government agency, the affairs of which are to be conducted on a not-for-profit basis, as per clause 4. The objectives of the trust are to provide a focused, coordinated and sustained effort in relation to Aboriginal language activities at local, regional and State levels, as per clause 5. The functions of the trust are:

(a) to bring together persons with relevant professional qualifications in languages and persons with knowledge of Aboriginal languages to provide advice and direction for Aboriginal language activities,
(b) to promote effective Aboriginal language activities,
(c) to identify priorities for Aboriginal language activities,
(d) to manage the funding for, coordination of and investment in Aboriginal language activities at local, regional and State levels,
(e) to promote education and employment opportunities in Aboriginal language activities,
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I say it, political arm wrestles. But we will find solutions because we know, from talking to each other, that we all hold the same principles.

The old people talk about the land, they talk about the mother in the land, they talk about the father, they talk about our sense of belonging to each other. We are hoping to rekindle and re-establish those songlines across this State and to talk about those relationships of family and kinship. We want to see our children uphold the concept of excellence. This is a part of our traditional teaching. To be smart, to be clever, is not to be mystical and magical. To be able to equate and to make something work, this is what our people did. This is how we taught our children—sometimes in reverse. My grandmother would say, "Ray, you can't see for looking, boy", and today I understand what she meant; I am a little bit older than that now.

I commend you all for the enacting and the carriage of this bill. I want to see it through. I want to do it because those people who gave their time, their effort and their lives for this important day have not lived to see it. But it is as if there is a chuckle in the back of the room; I believe their spirits are here with us—they are going to see us through and we are going to see major changes in our communities. Why? Because we are going to be in leadership. I am going to finish with a little song. It is a song about the seven sisters that travelled the entire length of this country, but it certainly makes its pathway across our communities in New South Wales. It upholds the sense of the grandmother, and the grandfather in terms of law leadership.

♫ Ngun ngani bay, wurri nyirriya nayaga gay gaguu
Ngii yarri nga, marri yayirri burri yay guu
ngaru banggaru, wurri niyia nayaga gay gaguu, ngi yarri nga
marri yayirri marri yayirri dhayyu gapa
Parra Yinnara nguruu nguruu barri ngarriya
wurri niyia nayaga gay gaguu, ngi yarri nga
marri yayirri marri yayirri dhayyu gapa ❒

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much for this movement today.

The Hon. ADAM SEARLE (12:32): I speak in support of the Aboriginal Languages Bill 2017 on behalf of my party, the official Opposition, the alternative government of this State and the oldest political party in the country, the Australian Labor Party. I acknowledging that we meet on the lands of the Gadigal people of the Eora nation, and I pay my respects to their elders, past and present, and extend that respect to those First Peoples of New South Wales who are also present with us today in this place, the Legislative Council of New South Wales—the first Parliament in Australia. It is fitting that here is where the parliamentary journey of this legislation commences. But, as Minister Mitchell outlined in her second reading speech, this is not where the story of this bill commences.

The governmental journey commenced with the funding of the local community language revival in the early part of the first decade of this century by the former Labor Government, leading to the Aboriginal Languages Policy of 2004, the establishment of the Aboriginal Languages Research and Resource Centre, and the development of the Aboriginal Language and Culture Nests—a network of communities connected to a base school, united by a connection to an Aboriginal language, in five locations across the State. I note from the Minister's speech that the number of students receiving education in Aboriginal languages has increased by 1,000 in just the past year. That is not due to governmental action alone, but to a persistent desire of the First Peoples of this land to know their language, despite all the hardship and dispossession that has been visited upon them by white European settlement.

We should remember that more than 250 Aboriginal Australian language groups were present on the continent at the time of European settlement in 1788. Today, only around 120 of those languages are still spoken, and many are at risk of being lost as elders pass away. Systematic attempts to deprive Aboriginal people of their languages has meant that the link between generations of speakers has often been broken, so that many children had little or no knowledge of their traditional language. Their parents were partial speakers and their grandparents were the remaining few speakers of a language that, as elders, they alone could pass down to the next generation. Today, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people across Australia are speaking out about the need to strengthen their languages. People in many communities are working hard to learn more about language and to ensure it is passed on to the next generation before it is too late.

I note the contribution and presence today of many key language stakeholders including the New South Wales Aboriginal Land Council and too many others to list. I acknowledge the role of the former Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, Leslie Williams, in bringing forward the concept of this legislation in 2016, and that of the present Minister for bringing it to this, the next stage. I also acknowledge the hard work of my colleague in the other place the shadow Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, David Harris, MP, for his careful attention to this matter, for his work with a range of language stakeholders, for being part of this conversation, and for ensuring a cross-party approach is taken to these important matters, which should unite us and not divide us.
Language is central to the human experience in every place on this planet. It is how we describe and try to understand our experiences of the world around us, of other people and ourselves, and it is how we share that with each other and with the generations to come. We can see that in the songlines of the First Peoples, connecting people to place, across time and generations, holding knowledge of country and its many stories, reflecting the special relationship of Aboriginal people with the land. Language is the gateway to culture; it is vital to developing and maintaining identity, as a people and as individuals within that context. Without it individuals are cut off, isolated, deprived of a sense of who they are. That is what white European settlement did or tried to do to the First Peoples of this land to try to deprive them of their identity, commencing with silencing their language. How that can ever have seemed a kind or just course of action is, today, beyond all rational understanding.

In those places where language has been lost Aboriginal people experience a sense of grief and inadequacy due to the resulting loss of culture. This is one of many things that has substantially contributed to the disempowerment and disadvantaging of Aboriginal people in New South Wales and Australia. This legislation is but one measure—although an important one—along the long road back from this tragic, historic wrong. In one sense there is nothing in this legislation that could not be undertaken without there being a special Act of Parliament; each of these steps could be taken by administrative action alone. But the fact of this bill and its contents, the fact that the collected, elected representatives of all the people of this land acting together are consciously willing these courses of action and are committing to them in the context of all that was done to destroy Aboriginal language, culture and identity in the past, this small step today has important symbolic resonance. However, let it not be merely symbolic.

Let us not, after the passage of this law, allow it to pass from conscious thought to become someone else's responsibility. Today, let each of us commit to be vigilant in support of this enterprise, and to commit those forces in society that we all represent to do the same in the time that is to come. The Minister pointed out that it is unusual in legislation to have a preamble, but for this particular legislation it is entirely fitting. I note the careful and diligent work of Dr Ray Kelly and others that has gone into this important aspect, which has both symbolic and practical meaning.

I will not read out the preamble because the Minister has already done so, but, as she drew to our attention, we must never forget that speaking in language was once forbidden. People were arrested for speaking their language, and children were removed from family and from country because of it. We should be conscious that supporting Aboriginal people to know and to grow their language reconnects them with their culture and identity. This will continue to assist in building resilience, which in turn will be another small step towards practical reconciliation between our peoples. The choice of language, the use of words to describe what we do or what we want to do, is so important. It really frames how those actions are perceived and it influences how they are done.

I note that legislation does not seek to protect or to preserve Aboriginal languages, rejecting the language of past colonial injustice, but rather seeks to reawaken them, as if they were a strong flame that has been caused to die down to embers, but not extinguished, continuing to glow and to smoulder until given oxygen and nourishment, to be given support, they grow back into their full glory once more. I note the last part of the preamble, "It is acknowledged that Aboriginal persons are the custodians of Aboriginal languages and have the right to control their growth and nurturing." We must never forget the agency or the autonomy of Aboriginal people and the ownership they have and must have over their own language. This is the hope and the aspiration embodied in this legislation, for the estimated 35 first languages and the more than 100 dialects of those languages spoken across the rich wide lands of this State, which we all share.

Reverend the Hon. FRED NILE (12:40): Thank you for the opportunity to speak in support of the Aboriginal Languages Bill 2017. This is an historic moment, as the Minister said. The first historic point was when we passed the Aboriginal land rights legislation in 1983. I was pleased to be one of the members who enthusiastically supported the legislation and voted for it. I think I am the only member still in this House who was there in 1983. It was a battle, but thankfully the land rights legislation was passed and it brought into existence in New South Wales the Aboriginal Land Council. Even though obviously I am not an Aboriginal, I have sought to represent the concerns of the Aboriginal people in my 36 years in this Upper House, to speak on behalf of them and consult with them about their concerns and to bring those concerns to the House.

As I was thinking of these words that I am sharing now, I thought that perhaps we should have had an amendment to our Constitution to allow for two Aboriginal representatives to be elected to serve in the Upper House, so we actually have a voice for the Aboriginal people in this place—but that is another battle to be fought and hopefully to win. I also had the privilege of serving on the inquiry into the Stolen Generation. Quite a few of the witnesses shared with us their concerns about language and told us how they were punished, as children, if they tried to use the Aboriginal language. There were various punishments; one was to take the child and chain the child to a tree in the grounds of the centre, and leave that child there overnight for using the Aboriginal language. They were forbidden to use their Aboriginal name, and to rub salt into the wound, not only not able to
use their Aboriginal name, they were given a number and told they had a number, not a name, which reminded me of previous totalitarian generations and nations that have treated people in that same way. I will not go into that today.

I also shared in the inquiry into the reparations or compensation to the Aboriginal people for the losses they have suffered and the Aboriginal representatives here have mentioned that today, of the wealth within the nation and within the State and how little of that seems to have reached the Aboriginal people themselves—which is another issue to be resolved. I was given a message by the New South Wales Aboriginal Land Council that had an embargo until 10.00 a.m. today, which raised a few of their concerns. I will conclude by putting these concerns on the record. Other speakers have referred to similar concerns. The Land Council was very concerned that the Aboriginal community was to have control of Aboriginal languages. That must be a priority for the Government. Any legislation must involve full and proper consultation with the owners of languages, and that would be ongoing.

The Land Council was also concerned the legislation could seek to impose ministerial controls or intervention in relation to Aboriginal languages. There is some sensitivity in this area, even over the point that the trust cannot employ staff, but only have staff allocated to it from Aboriginal Affairs NSW. Even that seems to be an element of patronisation that white people seem to slip into, often without being fully aware of it. The Aboriginal people themselves have worked tirelessly to retain, teach and promote Aboriginal languages, in spite of those problems that they have had to face. "The Government can never own or control our languages and its role must be to work in partnership with the Aboriginal interests." That is an important point I would like to make. I am very pleased to support the legislation before the House and do all I can to make sure it is implemented successfully in this State.

Ms DAWN WALKER (12:46): I acknowledge the traditional owners of this land, the Gadigal people of the Eora nation, and pay my respects to elders past and present. This was, and always will be, Aboriginal land. I have come to this place from Bundjalung Country and I am proud to speak on behalf of The Greens on the Aboriginal Languages Bill 2017. The Greens welcome this commitment by the Government to protect and preserve Aboriginal language; language that has survived a long history of attempts to eliminate both it and its people. Our history is littered with attempts to silence and censor Aboriginal people. Since colonisation, we have lost more than half of Aboriginal Australian language groups. As we have heard, children were punished for speaking their traditional languages as recently as the 1960s. It is only because of the courage and the tenacity of Aboriginal communities to keep language alive that this bill is possible today.

I have had the great privilege of having local language shared with me by my community. Before this gift, I thought I moved to a small coastal surfing town of Fingal Head, which is in northern New South Wales, but what I learnt and what I was taught by my local Aboriginal community is that the Bundjalung name for Fingal Head is "Booningbah", which means "place of the Echidna". It is named after the unique basalt rock columns of the headland that resemble the spines of an echidna. The columns were formed by the lava flow from the pre-historic Tweed volcano, named by Captain James Cook as Mount Warning but known by the Aboriginal people as Wollumbin. This sacred mountain catches the first rays of sunlight to hit the Australian mainland every morning. Wollumbin is a powerful presence on the North Coast, a sacred site of great spiritual and cultural importance to local Aboriginal people. This mountain's majestic presence touches us all and reminds us that we live on Aboriginal land.

When I first moved to the North Coast I lived in Fingal Head and could see Mt Warning, but now I know I live in Booningbah, place of the echidna, under the powerful gaze of Wollumbin. The gift of maintaining this language in the face of such oppression and adversity, and then the generosity of the community in sharing it with me, cannot be explained. It is the gift of place, which I am so grateful to receive. This sharing has shown me the inherent link between language and culture. The way we communicate influences how we interact with the world and with each other. I hope that this bill means that no more languages are lost and that more Australians can participate in the great joy of communicating in a language that reverberates through the landscape.

Debate adjourned.

The PRESIDENT: I will now leave the chair. The House will resume at 2.30 p.m.

Questions Without Notice

ELECTRICITY PRICES

The Hon. ADAM SEARLE (14:30): My question is directed to the Minister for Energy and Utilities. Given that Cootamundra Citizen of the Year Kate Lonergan, who spent winter wrapped in a blanket rather than turning on a heater, has stated that she is unable to pay her electricity bill on time or meet the eligibility criteria